

# Test-Suite Automatic Generation through Automatic Seeding for WS-BPEL 2.0

V. Liñero   A. Estero   A. García   I. Medina



First International Summer School on Search-Based Software Engineering  
June 29th-July 1st

# Table of contents

## 1 Introduction

- Motivation & objectives
- WS-BPEL 2.0

## 2 Automatic Seeding

## 3 Automatic Seeding in WS-BPEL 2.0

## 4 Conclusions and future work

# Motivation & objectives

## Research area

Test suite automatic generation for WS-BPEL web service compositions.

## Previous work

- An input-based test suite automatic generation technique.
- TESTGENERATOR, a tool that implements the previous technique for WS-BPEL.

## Objectives

- Definition of the Automatic Seeding, a mixed test suite generation technique based on the program input and knowledge.
- Definition of an optimization of the previous process in order to reduce the test suite size.

# WS-BPEL 2.0

## What is WS-BPEL?

XML-based language that allows to specify the behavior of a business process based on web services interactions.

## Example

```
<flow> ← Structured activity
  <links> ← Container
    <link name="checkFlight-bookFlight" ← Attribute/> ← Element
  </links>
  <invoke name="checkFlight" ... > ← Basic Activity
    <sources> ← Container
      <source linkName="checkFlight-bookFlight" ← Attribute/> ← Element
    </sources>
  </invoke>
  <invoke name="checkHotel" ... />
  <invoke name="checkCarRental" ... />
  <invoke name="bookFlight" ... >
    <targets> ← Container
      <target linkName="checkFlight-bookFlight" /> ← Element
    </targets>
  </invoke>
</flow>
```

# Automatic Seeding (I)

## Automatic Seeding process

- 1 We obtain all the constants inside the program.
- 2 We read all the input variables of the specification.
- 3 We generate the mapping between constants and variables (by data type).
- 4 We generate an initial random test suite.
- 5 For each test case, we modify the selected variable in order to add the constant value stored in the mapping for it.

## Test suite size

Assuming  $T$  as basic data types collection and collections  $V_t$  (variables) and  $C_t$  (constants)  $\forall t \in T$ , the generated test suite size  $p$  is:

$$p = \sum_{t=1}^{|T|} |V_t| \cdot |C_t|$$

# Automatic Seeding (II)

## Example

```
if(state == "OPEN") {  
    while(temperature > 40 && pressure > 400) {  
        ...  
    }  
    state = "CLOSED";  
}
```

Test suite						
	1	2	3	4	5	6
state	OPEN	CLOSED	...	...	...	...
temperature	...	...	40	400	...	...
pressure	...	...	...	...	40	400

# Optimization (I)

## Motivation

Compositions with high number of constants and variables → high test suite size → high computational cost.

## Objectives

- Test suite size reduction to the minimum test cases needed.
- We require a relationship between the constants and the variables in order to generate a new test case.
- With this approach, we generate a mapping which discards unnecessary test cases.

# Optimization (II)

## Example with optimization

```
if(state == "OPEN") {  
    while(temperature > 40 && pressure > 400) {  
        ...  
    }  
    state = "CLOSED";  
}
```

Test suite						
	1	2	3	4	5	6
state	OPEN	CLOSED	...	...	...	...
temperature	...	...	40	400	...	...
pressure	...	...	...	...	40	400

# Optimization (II)

## Example with optimization

```
if(state == "OPEN") {  
    while(temperature > 40 && pressure > 400) {  
        ...  
    }  
    state = "CLOSED";  
}
```

Test suite						
	1	2	3	4	5	6
state	OPEN	CLOSED	...	...	...	...
temperature	...	...	40	400	...	...
pressure	...	...	...	...	40	400

# Optimization (II)

## Example with optimization

```
if(state == "OPEN") {  
    while(temperature > 40 && pressure > 400) {  
        ...  
    }  
    state = "CLOSED";  
}
```

Test suite				
	1	2	3	4
state	OPEN	CLOSED	...	...
temperature	...	...	40	...
pressure	...	...	...	400

# Automatic Seeding in WS-BPEL (I)

1. We obtain all the constants inside the composition

0	\$assessorInput.input/ns0:amount \$approverInput.input/ns0:amount
false	\$processOutput.output/ns0:accept
10000	\$processInput.input/ns0:amount
high	\$assessorOutput.output/ns0:risk
true	\$processOutput.output/ns0:accept

2. We read all the input variables of the specification

req_amount	Int
ap_reply	String
as_reply	String

# Automatic Seeding in WS-BPEL (II)

[Optimization] We generate the mapping between specification and composition variables

req_amount	\$assessorInput.input/ns0:amount \$approverInput.input/ns0:amount \$processInput.input/ns0:amount
ap_reply	\$approverOutput.output/ns0:accept \$processOutput.output/ns0:accept
as_reply	\$assessorOutput.output/ns0:risk

3. We generate the mapping between constants and variables (by data type)

ap_reply	String	true, false
req_amount	Int	0, 10000
as_reply	String	high

# Automatic Seeding in WS-BPEL (III)

## 4. We generate an initial random test suite

<b>ap_reply</b>	false	true	true	true	false
<b>req_amount</b>	456	4500	2345	12349	567
<b>as_reply</b>	high	low	low	low	low

## 5. We modify the test suite

<b>ap_reply</b>	true	false	true	true	false
<b>req_amount</b>	456	4500	0	10000	567
<b>as_reply</b>	high	low	low	low	high

## 6. We apply the proper format to the test suite generated

```
#set($ap_reply = ["true", "false", "true", "true", "false"])
#set($req_amount = [456, 4500, 0, 10000, 567])
#set($as_reply = ["high", "low", "low", "low", "high"])
```

# Conclusions and future work

## Conclusions

We have defined:

- A mixed test suite automatic generation technique which benefits from both input and composition information.
- An optimization of the technique that allows to reduce the final size of the generated test suite.

## Future work

To perform an experimental study which will allow to quantify the improvement expected, comparing both conventional and optimized techniques with the basic random generation one.

# Thanks for your attention!



University of Cádiz

[valentin.lineiro@uca.es](mailto:valentin.lineiro@uca.es)